

REMARKS

Claims 1 - 8, 10 - 14, 16 - 24, 26 - 33, 35 - 37, and 39 - 55 are pending. Claims 9, 15, 25, 34, and 38 have been cancelled. Claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 10 - 14, 18, 19, 21 - 24, 26, 27, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37, 41 - 45, 48, 50 - 52, 53, and 54 have been amended. Claims 92 - 119 have been added. No new matter has been added.

In the May 6, 2005 Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 10 and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The applicants have rewritten claims 10 and 35 in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and intervening claims.

Claims 2 - 8, 11 - 14, 16 - 24, 26 - 33, 36 - 37, and 39 - 55, 92, and 93 depend, indirectly or directly, on independent claims 10 and 35. Accordingly, applicants respectfully submit that claims 2 - 8, 11 - 14, 16 - 24, 26 - 33, 36 - 37, and 39 - 55, 92, and 93 are in condition for allowance because they are dependent on allowable independent claims 10 and 35.

Independent claims 94, 104, and 111 include the limitations that the Examiner has indicated made claims 10 and 35 allowable, i.e., that the device processor, the communication port, and the attachment processor have unique bus addresses and that a message received by the antenna includes information identifying the bus address of the intended recipient of the message. Accordingly, applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 94, 104, and 111 are in condition for allowance.

Claims 95 - 103, 105 - 110, and 112 - 119 depend, indirectly or directly, on claims 94, 104, and 111. Accordingly, applicant respectfully submits that claims 95 -

103, 105 - 110, and 112 - 119 are in condition for allowance.

In the May 6, 2005 Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1 - 4, 6 - 9, 12 - 20, 23 - 28, 30 - 34, 37 - 44, 48 - 49, and 54 - 55 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Published Patent Application No. 2004/0058705 to Morgan et al. ("the Morgan reference"). The Examiner rejected claims 5 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Morgan reference in view of In re Japikse (CCPA 1950). The Examiner rejected claims 11, 36, and 50 - 51 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Morgan reference. The Examiner rejected claims 45 - 47 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Morgan reference in view of U.S. Published Patent Application 2002/0025796 to Taylor et al. ("the Taylor reference"). The Examiner rejected claims 21 - 22 and 52 - 53 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Morgan reference in view of U.S. Patent No. 2003/0211863 to Neifer ("the Neifer reference"). **Because all of the previously pending claims are now dependent, indirectly or directly, upon the allowable claims 10 and 35, these rejections are not specifically discussed in this office action because the rejections are believed to be no longer applicable to the pending claims.**

///

///

///

///

///


///

Applicants believe that the claims are in condition for allowance, and a favorable action is respectfully requested. If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call either of the undersigned attorneys at the Los Angeles telephone number (213) 488-7100 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance should the Examiner believe that such a telephone conference would advance prosecution of the application.


Respectfully submitted,

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

Date: September 6, 2005

By: 
Mark R. Kendrick
Registration No. 48,468
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Date: September 6, 2005

By: 
Roger R. Wise
Registration No. 31,204
Attorney for Applicant(s)

725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406
Telephone: (213) 488-7100
Facsimile: (213) 629-1033